

NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION
CLAIM NO. G004303

CHRISTINA IBISON, EMPLOYEE	CLAIMANT
LEGACY HEALTH & REHAB, EMPLOYER	RESPONDENT
WAUSAU UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE, CARRIER/TPA	RESPONDENT

OPINION FILED SEPTEMBER 12, 2011

Upon review before the FULL COMMISSION, Little Rock, Pulaski County, Arkansas.

Claimant appeared *pro se*.

Respondents represented by the HONORABLE JAMES ARNOLD, II, Attorney at Law, Fort Smith, Arkansas.

Decision of Administrative Law Judge: Affirmed and Adopted.

OPINION AND ORDER

Claimant appeals from a decision of the Administrative Law Judge filed May 4, 2011.

The Administrative Law Judge entered the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission has jurisdiction of this claim.
2. On all relevant dates, including May 19, 2009, the relationship of employee-employer-carrier existed between the parties.
3. On all relevant dates, the claimant earned wages

sufficient to entitle her to weekly compensation benefits of \$446.00 for total disability and \$334.00 for permanent partial disability, should such benefits have been appropriate.

4. The claimant has failed to prove by the greater weight of the credible evidence that she sustained a compensable injury to her back or lumbar spine on May 13 or May 19, 2009, or any other date during her period of employment with this respondent. Specifically, the claimant has failed to prove by the greater weight of the credible evidence the occurrence of a physical injury to this part of her body that arose out of and occurred in the course of her employment, that was caused by a specific incident, and that is identifiable by time and place of occurrence.
5. The respondents have denied the occurrence of any compensable injury to the claimant's back on May 19, 2009, or any other date, and have controverted this claim in its entirety.

We have carefully conducted a de novo review of the entire record herein and it is our opinion that the Administrative Law Judge's decision is supported by a preponderance of the credible evidence, correctly applies the law, and should be affirmed. Specifically, we find from a preponderance of the evidence that the findings of fact made by the Administrative Law Judge are correct and they are, therefore, adopted by the Full Commission.

Thus, we affirm and adopt the decision of the Administrative Law Judge, including all findings and conclusions therein, as the decision of the Full Commission on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

A. WATSON BELL, Chairman

KAREN H. MCKINNEY, Commissioner

Commissioner Hood dissents.