

# NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

CLAIM NO. F609681

VIVIAN M. HAWKINS,  
EMPLOYEE

CLAIMANT

ARKANSAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL,  
SELF-INSURED EMPLOYER

RESPONDENT

RISK MANAGEMENT RESOURCES,  
INSURANCE CARRIER

RESPONDENT

OPINION FILED JUNE 18, 2009

Upon review before the FULL COMMISSION in Little Rock,  
Pulaski County, Arkansas.

Claimant appears Pro Se.

Respondents represented by the HONORABLE Guy A. Wade,  
Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Decision of Administrative Law Judge: Affirmed and  
Adopted.

## OPINION AND ORDER

Claimant appeals an opinion and order of the  
Administrative Law Judge filed December 18, 2008. In  
said order, the Administrative Law Judge made the  
following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission has jurisdiction over this claim.
2. The stipulations agreed to by the parties and recited herein are reasonable and are hereby accepted as fact.
3. The claimant has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled to any permanent partial disability benefits.

4. The claimant has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled to any additional medical treatment.

We have carefully conducted a de novo review of the entire record herein and it is our opinion that the Administrative Law Judge's decision is supported by a preponderance of the credible evidence, correctly applies the law, and should be affirmed. Specifically, we find from a preponderance of the evidence that the findings of fact made by the Administrative Law Judge are correct and they are, therefore, adopted by the Full Commission.

Therefore we affirm and adopt the December 18, 2008 decision of the Administrative Law Judge, including all findings and conclusions therein, as the decision of the Full Commission on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

A. WATSON BELL, Chairman

---

KAREN H. McKINNEY, Commissioner

Commissioner Hood concurs.

**CONCURRING OPINION**

The claimant, who is pro se, suffered an admittedly compensable injury to her left eye on July

20, 2006. Dr. Deer stated his belief the claimant's need for glasses had changed because of the injury. The instant decision of the Full Commission does not foreclose the claimant's entitlement to medical treatment for her compensable injury, including prescription eyeglasses, as long as the needs are not related to treatment of her cataracts.

---

PHILIP A. HOOD, Commissioner