

**NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION**

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

CLAIM NO. F705856

MICHAEL CURRY, EMPLOYEE	CLAIMANT
J.B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC., EMPLOYER	RESPONDENT
NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, CARRIER	RESPONDENT

**OPINION FILED JULY 28, 2008**

Upon review before the FULL COMMISSION, Little Rock, Pulaski County, Arkansas.

Claimant was not represented by counsel, but appeared pro se.

Respondent represented by HONORABLE JOSEPH H. PURVIS, Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Decision of Administrative Law Judge: Affirmed and Adopted.

**OPINION AND ORDER**

The claimant appeals from a decision of the Administrative Law Judge filed May 21, 2008.

The Administrative Law Judge entered the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission has jurisdiction of this claim.

2. On all relevant dates, including May 7, 2007, the relationship of employee-employer-carrier existed between the parties.

3. The claimant has failed to prove by the greater weight of the credible evidence that he sustained a "compensable injury" on May 7, 2007. Specifically, has failed to prove the occurrence of a physical injury that arose out of and occurred in the course of his employment with this respondent, that was caused by a specific incident, that is identifiable by time and place of occurrence, that caused internal or external physical harm to his body, and that required medical services or resulted in disability.

4. The respondents have denied the occurrence of any compensable injury and have controverted this claim in its entirety.

The claimant alleges that he sustained a compensable injury that is governed by the Arkansas Workers' Compensation Act, A.C.A. § 11-9-101 et seq. The claimant's alleged injury is, indeed, an injury that is covered by the Act; however, the claimant has failed to establish the elements necessary to prove a compensable injury by a preponderance of the evidence.

We have carefully conducted a de novo review of the entire record herein and it is our opinion that the Administrative Law Judge's decision is supported by a

preponderance of the credible evidence, correctly applies the law, and should be affirmed. Specifically, we find from a preponderance of the evidence that the findings of fact made by the Administrative Law Judge are correct and they are, therefore, adopted by the Full Commission.

Thus, we affirm and adopt the decision of the Administrative Law Judge, including all findings and conclusions therein, as the decision of the Full Commission on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

OLAN W. REEVES, Chairman

---

KAREN H. McKINNEY, Commissioner

Commissioner Hood dissents.