

**NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION**

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

CLAIM NO. F511493

TASHA HAMILTON, EMPLOYEE	CLAIMANT
BOARS HEAD PROVISION CO., INC., EMPLOYER	RESPONDENT
AMERICAN ZURICH INSURANCE COMPANY, INSURANCE CARRIER	RESPONDENT

**OPINION FILED NOVEMBER 1, 2007**

Upon review before the FULL COMMISSION, Little Rock, Pulaski County, Arkansas.

Claimant represented by HONORABLE SHEILA F. CAMPBELL,  
Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Respondent represented by HONORABLE ERIC NEWKIRK, Attorney  
at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Decision of Administrative Law Judge: Affirmed and Adopted.

**OPINION AND ORDER**

Claimant appeals from a decision of the  
Administrative Law Judge filed December 18, 2006.

The Administrative Law Judge entered the following  
findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission has jurisdiction over this claim.
2. The stipulations agreed to by the parties are hereby accepted as fact.

3. The claimant has failed to prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she sustained any work-related injury arising out of and during the course of her employment with Boars Head Provision Company, Inc., on January 10, 2005.
4. The claimant has failed to prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that her left shoulder problems, disability, and need for treatment are in any way causally related to an employment related injury with the respondent herein.
5. Even in the event claimant has proven a compensable injury, contrary to the foregoing findings and conclusions, respondents have no liability for any benefits before November, 2005, when it first received notice of an alleged injury pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §11-9-701 (Repl. 2002).

The claimant alleges that she sustained a compensable injury that is governed by the Arkansas Workers' Compensation Act, A.C.A. § 11-9-101 et seq. The claimant's alleged injury is, indeed, an injury that is covered by the Act; however, the claimant has failed to establish the elements necessary to prove a compensable injury by a preponderance of the evidence.

We have carefully conducted a de novo review of the entire record herein and it is our opinion that the

Administrative Law Judge's decision is supported by a preponderance of the credible evidence, correctly applies the law, and should be affirmed. Specifically, we find from a preponderance of the evidence that the findings of fact made by the Administrative Law Judge are correct and they are, therefore, adopted by the Full Commission.

Thus, we affirm and adopt the decision of the Administrative Law Judge, including all findings and conclusions therein, as the decision of the Full Commission on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

OLAN W. REEVES, Chairman

---

KAREN H. MCKINNEY, Commissioner

Commissioner Hood dissents.