

NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

CLAIM NO. F402621

MARY STEWART,
EMPLOYEE

CLAIMANT

FIANNA HILLS NURSING REHAB CTR.,
EMPLOYER

RESPONDENT

FIRSTCOMP INSURANCE COMPANY,
INSURANCE CARRIER

RESPONDENT

OPINION FILED JANUARY 31, 2006

Upon review before the FULL COMMISSION in Little Rock,
Pulaski County, Arkansas.

Claimant represented by the HONORABLE JAMES FIFYAW,
Attorney at Law, Fort Smith, Arkansas.

Respondents represented by the HONORABLE WILLIAM FRYE,
Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Decision of Administrative Law Judge: Affirmed and
Adopted.

OPINION AND ORDER

Claimant appeals an opinion and order of the
Administrative Law Judge filed March 18, 2005. In said
order, the Administrative Law Judge made the following
findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Arkansas Workers' Compensation
Commission has jurisdiction of this claim.
2. On March 14, 2004, the relationship of
employee-employer-carrier existed between
the parties.

3. The claimant sustained compensable injuries to her hip, back and neck on March 14, 2004.

4. The claimant is entitled to a weekly compensation rate of \$201.00 for temporary total disability and \$154.00 for permanent partial disability.

5. Some medical has been paid.

6. Some temporary total disability has been paid.

7. The claimant has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled to additional medical treatment for her compensable injuries subsequent to June 4, 2004.

8. The claimant has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled additional temporary total disability from June 4, 2004, to a date to be determined.

We have carefully conducted a de novo review of the entire record herein and it is our opinion that the Administrative Law Judge's decision is supported by a preponderance of the credible evidence, correctly applies the law, and should be affirmed. Specifically, we find from a preponderance of the evidence that the findings of fact made by the Administrative Law Judge are correct and they are, therefore, adopted by the Full Commission.

Therefore we affirm and adopt the March 18, 2005 decision of the Administrative Law Judge, including all

findings and conclusions therein, as the decision of the Full Commission on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

OLAN W. REEVES, Chairman

KAREN H. McKINNEY, Commissioner

Commissioner Turner dissents.