

**NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION**

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

CLAIM NO. F310808

JANA J. STEPHENS,  
EMPLOYEE

CLAIMANT

NORTHRIDGE REHABILITATION  
AND HEALTHCARE CENTER,  
EMPLOYER

RESPONDENT

CANNON COCHRAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES,  
INSURANCE CARRIER

RESPONDENT

OPINION FILED SEPTEMBER 8, 2005

Upon review before the FULL COMMISSION in Little Rock,  
Pulaski County, Arkansas.

Claimant represented by the HONORABLE BEN E. RICE,  
Attorney at Law, Jacksonville, Arkansas.

Respondents represented by the HONORABLE MICHAEL E.  
RYBURN, Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Decision of Administrative Law Judge: Affirmed and  
Adopted.

OPINION AND ORDER

Claimant appeals an opinion and order of the  
Administrative Law Judge filed December 17, 2004. In  
said order, the Administrative Law Judge made the  
following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Workers' Compensation Commission  
has jurisdiction of this claim in which  
the relationship of employer-employee-  
carrier existed among the parties on  
October 7, 2003, at which time the

claimant was earning sufficient wages to entitle her to a compensation rate of \$400.00/\$300.00 in the event this claim is found to be compensable. Some medical expenses have been paid by Blue Cross Blue Shield Health Advantage.

2. The claimant has failed to prove by a preponderance of the credible evidence that she sustained a compensable injury, caused by a specific incident, arising out of and in the course of her employment which produced physical bodily harm, supported by objective findings, requiring medical treatment or producing disability, pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. § 11-9-102.

The claimant alleges that he sustained a compensable injury that is governed by the Arkansas Workers' Compensation Act, A.C.A. § 11-9-101 et seq. The claimant's alleged injury is, indeed, an injury that is covered by the Act; however, the claimant has failed to establish the elements necessary to prove a compensable injury by a preponderance of the evidence.

We have carefully conducted a de novo review of the entire record herein and it is our opinion that the Administrative Law Judge's decision is supported by a preponderance of the credible evidence, correctly applies the law, and should be affirmed. Specifically,

we find from a preponderance of the evidence that the findings of fact made by the Administrative Law Judge are correct and they are, therefore, adopted by the Full Commission.

Therefore we affirm and adopt the December 17, 2004 decision of the Administrative Law Judge, including all findings and conclusions therein, as the decision of the Full Commission on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

OLAN W. REEVES, Chairman

---

KAREN H. MCKINNEY, Commissioner

Commissioner Turner dissents.