

**NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION**

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

CLAIM NO. F310845

DORIS MILLS, EMPLOYEE	CLAIMANT
NORTHEAST ARKANSAS CLINIC, EMPLOYER	RESPONDENT NO. 1
WESTPORT INSURANCE COMPANY, INSURANCE CARRIER	RESPONDENT NO. 1
SECOND INJURY FUND	RESPONDENT NO. 2
DEATH & PERMANENT DISABILITY TRUST FUND	RESPONDENT NO. 3

OPINION FILED JUNE 3, 2005

Upon review before the FULL COMMISSION in Little Rock,  
Pulaski County, Arkansas.

Claimant represented by the HONORABLE KRISTOFER E.  
RICHARDSON, Attorney at Law, Jonesboro, Arkansas.

Respondents No. 1 represented by the HONORABLE WILLIAM  
C. FRYE, Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Respondent No. 2 represented by the HONORABLE TERRY  
PENCE, Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Respondent No. 3 represented by the HONORABLE JUDY W.  
RUDD, Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Decision of Administrative Law Judge: Affirmed and  
Adopted.

OPINION AND ORDER

Claimant appeals an opinion and order of the  
Administrative Law Judge filed November 8, 2004. In

said order, the Administrative Law Judge made the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission has jurisdiction of this claim.
2. On January 28, 2003, the relationship of employee-employer-carrier existed among the claimant and Respondents #1.
3. On January 28, 2003, the claimant earned wages sufficient to entitle her to the weekly compensation benefits of \$196.00/\$154.00, for total/permanent partial disability.
4. On January 28, 2003, the claimant did not sustain an injury arising out of and in the course of her employment, pursuant to Ark. Code. Ann. § 11-9-102(4)(A)(i) (Supp. 2001).

We have carefully conducted a de novo review of the entire record herein and it is our opinion that the Administrative Law Judge's decision is supported by a preponderance of the credible evidence, correctly applies the law, and should be affirmed. Specifically, we find from a preponderance of the evidence that the findings of fact made by the Administrative Law Judge are correct and they are, therefore, adopted by the Full Commission.

Therefore we affirm and adopt the November 8, 2004 decision of the Administrative Law Judge, including all

findings and conclusions therein, as the decision of the Full Commission on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

OLAN W. REEVES, Chairman

---

KAREN H. MCKINNEY, Commissioner

Commissioner Turner dissents.