

NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

CLAIM NO. F105092

ROBYN B. MANOR,
EMPLOYEE

CLAIMANT

WAL-MART,
EMPLOYER

RESPONDENT

CLAIMS MANAGEMENT, INC.,
INSURANCE CARRIER

RESPONDENT

OPINION FILED JULY 22, 2003

Upon review before the FULL COMMISSION in Little Rock,
Pulaski County, Arkansas.

Claimant represented by the HONORABLE GARY DAVIS,
Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Respondents represented by the HONORABLE CURTIS NEBBEN,
Attorney at Law, Fayetteville, Arkansas.

Decision of Administrative Law Judge: Affirmed and
Adopted.

OPINION AND ORDER

Claimant appeals an opinion and order of the
Administrative Law Judge filed September 26, 2002. In
said order, the Administrative Law Judge made the
following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. That the Arkansas Workers' Compensation
Commission has jurisdiction of this claim.
2. That the stipulations agreed to by the
parties at the pre-hearing conference
conducted on May 16, 2002, and contained
in a pre-hearing order filed May 16, 2002,
as well as those at the hearing herein, are
hereby accepted as fact.

3. That the Claimant has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that she sustained any compensable injury to her grip in her right hand, right elbow, heart palpitations, elevated blood sugar levels, dizziness, neck swelling, or that any of said conditions alleged in the hearing were in any form a natural consequence of her compensable injury of September 10, 2000; specifically, Claimant has failed to prove any such alleged conditions with medical evidence supported by objective findings establishing such injury, and that said arose out of and in the course of her employment, and were caused by the specific incident of September 10, 2000, and identified by time and place of occurrence.

4. That the Claimant has failed to prove by either objective medical evidence, expert medical opinion, or a preponderance of the credible non-medical evidence in the record that she is entitled to medical treatment for her grip in her right hand, right elbow, right arm, heart palpitations, elevated blood sugar levels, dizziness, neck swelling, or any other conditions alleged in the hearing or evidence presented; specifically, Claimant has failed to prove that the need for treatment of any such condition is reasonably necessary and causally related to her compensable injury of September 10, 2001.

5. That the Claimant's claim is hereby respectfully denied and dismissed in its entirety.

We have carefully conducted a de novo review of the entire record herein and it is our opinion that the Administrative Law Judge's decision is supported by a preponderance of the credible evidence, correctly

applies the law, and should be affirmed. Specifically, we find from a preponderance of the evidence that the findings of fact made by the Administrative Law Judge are correct and they are, therefore, adopted by the Full Commission.

Therefore we affirm and adopt the September 26, 2002 decision of the Administrative Law Judge, including all findings and conclusions therein, as the decision of the Full Commission on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

OLAN W. REEVES, Chairman

JOE E. YATES, Commissioner

Commissioner Turner dissents.