

**NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION**

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

CLAIM NO. F211470

SHERRIE BLACK, EMPLOYEE	CLAIMANT
WORK SOURCE, INC., EMPLOYER	RESPONDENT
EMPLOYERS INSURANCE COMPANY OF WAUSAU, INSURANCE CARRIER	RESPONDENT

OPINION FILED SEPTEMBER 19, 2003

Upon review before the FULL COMMISSION, Little Rock, Pulaski County, Arkansas.

Claimant represented by HONORABLE MICHAEL HAMBY, Attorney at Law, Greenwood, Arkansas.

Respondent represented by HONORABLE JAMES A. ARNOLD, II, AND HONORABLE JEFFREY RICKARD, Attorneys at Law, Fort Smith, Arkansas.

Decision of Administrative Law Judge: Affirmed and Adopted.

OPINION AND ORDER

The claimant appeals from a decision of the Administrative Law Judge filed April 24, 2003.

The Administrative Law Judge entered the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission has jurisdiction of this claim.
2. On September 19, 2002, the

relationship of employee-employer-carrier existed between the parties.

3. On September 19, 2002, the claimant earned wages sufficient to entitle her to weekly compensation benefits at the rate of \$207.00 for total disability and \$155.00 for permanent partial disability, should such benefits have been appropriate.

4. The claimant has failed to prove by the greater weight of the credible evidence that on September 19, 2002, she sustained "compensable injuries" to her right leg, right knee, and low back. Specifically, she has failed to prove the actual existence of physical injuries or conditions to these portions of her anatomy which are "established" by medical evidence, that is supported by 'objective findings', as required by Ark. Code Ann. §11-9-102(4)(D).

5. The respondents have denied the occurrence of any compensable injuries to the claimant's right leg, right knee, or lower back.

We have carefully conducted a de novo review of the entire record herein and it is our opinion that the Administrative Law Judge's decision is supported by a preponderance of the credible evidence, correctly applies the law, and should be affirmed. Specifically, we find from a preponderance of the evidence that the findings of fact made by the Administrative Law Judge are correct and they are, therefore, adopted by the Full Commission.

Thus, we affirm and adopt the decision of the Administrative Law Judge, including all findings and conclusions therein, as the decision of the Full Commission on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

OLAN W. REEVES, Chairman

---

JOE E. YATES, Commissioner

Commissioner Turner dissents.