

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

CLAIM NO. F705713

DEANNA R. CASTILLO

CLAIMANT

**DIXIE CAFE
(SELF-INSURED)**

RESPONDENT EMPLOYER

ORDER AND OPINION FILED AUGUST 28, 2008

Hearing before Administrative Law JUDGE LINDA K. MARSHALL.

Claimant failed to appear.

Respondents represented by the HONORABLE GAIL O. MATTHEWS, Attorney at Law, Little Rock, Arkansas.

ISSUES

A hearing was held on August 20, 2008, to determine whether the above referenced claim should be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Although notified by certified mail, the claimant failed to appear.

The hearing was scheduled on respondents' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Prosecution filed June 30, 2008, pursuant to Rule 13 of the Commission. A certificate of service was sent to the claimant and the Return Receipt revealed the claimant signed for the Notice.

After review of the documentary exhibits filed by the respondents, I find the claimant has not been diligent in pursuing her claim and, therefore, the respondents' motion is granted.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The claim was initiated by the filing of a Form AR-C with the Commission relating

to a May 2, 2007, alleged injury. The claimant filed an AR-C on June 4, 2007. Respondents wanted the claimant to go to the doctor at the time of the fall but she refused. One month after the fall, the claimant wanted to file a claim. The claimant was sent to Concentra and she was drug tested and she tested positive for prohibited drugs. The claimant was represented by an attorney, who later withdrew. No further discovery has been made. Respondents have not been able to contact the claimant.

The respondents filed a motion to dismiss on June 30, 2008. The Commission sent a letter on July 9, 2008, asking for the claimant's position on the Dismissal Motion. The hearing notice was mailed on July 29, 2008, in accordance with *Dillard v. Benton County Sheriff's Office*, 87 Ark App. 379, 192 S.W.3d 287 (2004).

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

A review of the evidence shows the claimant has had ample opportunity to pursue her case. The claimant has not requested a hearing within the last six months.

There are two provisions for dismissal of claims:

Ark. Code Ann. §11-9-702(a)(4):
If within six (6) months after the filing of a claim for compensation, no bona fide request for a hearing has been made with respect to the claim, the claim may, upon motion and after hearing, be dismissed without prejudice to the refiling of the claim within limitation periods specified in subdivisions (a)(1)-(3) of this section.

Rule 13:
The Commission may, in its discretion, postpone or recess hearings at the instance of either party or on its own motion. No case set for hearing shall be postponed except by approval of the Commission or Administrative Law Judge.

In the event neither party appears at the initial hearing, the case may be dismissed by the Commission or Administrative

Law Judge, and such dismissal order will become final unless an appeal is timely taken therefrom or a proper motion to reopen is filed with the Commission within thirty (30) days from receipt of the order.

Upon meritorious application to the Commission from either party in an action pending before the Commission, requesting that the claim be dismissed for want of prosecution, the Commission may, upon reasonable notice to all parties, enter an order dismissing the claim for want of prosecution. (Effective March 1, 1982)

After review, I make the following findings of fact:

1. The Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission has jurisdiction of this claim.
2. The claimant has not requested a hearing within the last six months pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §11-9-702.
3. The claimant failed to appear at the dismissal hearing pursuant to Rule 099.13.

At the request of the respondents, this case is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Rule 099.13.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**LINDA K. MARSHALL
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**